



Jay E. Gruber
Senior Attorney
Law & Government Affairs

Room 420
99 Bedford Street
Boston, MA 02111
617 574-3149
FAX (281) 664-9929

Public Version:
Omits Confidential and Proprietary Attachments

February 24, 2005

BY HAND AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms. April Mulqueen, Esquire
Assistant Director
Telecommunications Division
Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy
One South Station, 2nd Floor
Boston, MA 02110

**Re: D.T.E. 01-33 – Request for Waiver of NANPA NeuStar’s Denial of AT&T’s
Request for 10,000 Numbers to Meet a Specific Customer Need**

Dear Ms. Mulqueen:

AT&T Communications hereby requests that the Department of Telecommunications and Energy (“Department”) issue a waiver of NeuStar, Inc.’s (“NeuStar”, “Pooling Administrator” or “PA”) decision to deny AT&T’s request for a new exchange code of 10,000 numbers to meet the specific needs of a customer requesting additional numbering resources. AT&T takes such action pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) rules [47 C.F.R 52.15(g)(3)(iv)].

1. Background and Nature of the Numbering Request.

A customer of AT&T, has requested that AT&T provide a block of 10,000 numbers – i.e. an entire NXX – in the 339/781 NPA for telecommunication services AT&T provides.

AT&T has developed a communications plan that the customer will implement, and consequently, the customer will assign the 10,000 numbers as soon as AT&T makes them available. The customer requires that the numbers be an uncontaminated single NXX.

This request is based on the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Rules found at 47 C.F.R. 52.15(g)(3)(iv) and pursuant to the Thousands-Block Number (NXX-X) Pooling Administration Guidelines and the Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines published by the Industry Numbering Committee ("INC").¹

On February 7, 2005, AT&T submitted a "Thousand Block Application Form Part 1A", and a "Months to Exhaust and Utilization Certification Worksheet – TN Level" ("MTE and Utilization") to the PA for ten blocks² in the Lexington rate center.

During the online session with the Pooling Administration System ("PAS"), AT&T received an error message indicating the request would not process through the system without a state waiver.³ The PA applies the FCC rules and INC Guidelines. These rules and guidelines require that a block holder requesting growth resources demonstrate that existing resources within the rate center will both exhaust within 6.0 months, and meet the 75% utilization level. The error message indicated the utilization level was not met.

2. Request for Waiver of NeuStar's Numbering Resource Denial

AT&T requests that the Department overturn the PA's decision and order a new central office code that meets the customer's requirements be assigned to AT&T. Although AT&T has an adequate supply of telephone numbers to satisfy incremental requests for numbers without receiving a new NXX, AT&T's existing telephone resources cannot satisfy its customer's specific need.

In setting its policy for the assignment of telephone numbers, the FCC designated NANPA and the PA to handle numbering resource administration. If a numbering resource administrator withholds numbering resources from a carrier, the FCC has specifically authorized state commissions to overturn those decisions for reasonable cause. That authority is specifically set out in the relevant FCC Rule, 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(3)(iv), which states:

The NANPA shall withhold numbering resources from any U.S. carrier that fails to comply with the reporting and numbering resource application requirements established in this part. The NANPA shall not issue numbering resources to a carrier without an Operating Company Number

¹ These guidelines may be found on the INC web site: <http://www.atis.org/atis/clc/INC/Incdocs.htm>

² A copy of the Part 1A and MTE worksheet are appended. AT&T asks the Commission to treat the information contained as confidential by the Commission.

³ A copy of the error message is appended. AT&T asks the Commission to treat the information contained as confidential by the Commission.

(OCN). The NANPA must notify the carrier in writing of its decision to withhold numbering resources within ten (10) days of receiving a request for numbering resources. The carrier may challenge the NANPA's decision to the appropriate state regulatory commission. The state commission may affirm, or may overturn, the NANPA's decision to withhold numbering resources from the carrier based on its determination that the carrier has complied with the reporting and numbering resource application requirements herein.

In addition, the FCC through the INC Guidelines provides that appropriate regulatory authorities may review the PA's decision to deny a request for numbering resources. See INC TBPAG Sections 3.7 and 12(c).

The FCC also clarified in the text of a recent NRO Order⁴ that carriers may now appeal to states using a "safety valve" mechanism (paragraphs 57-66). As is noted in the following, the FCC contemplated the need for, and gave structure to states to respond when denials failed to consider a "specific customer request":

... a carrier should be able to get additional numbering resources when there is a verifiable need due to the carrier's inability to satisfy a specific customer request. We therefore clarify that states may also grant relief if a carrier demonstrates that it has received a customer request for numbering resources in a given rate center that it cannot meet with its current inventory. Carriers may demonstrate such a need by providing the state with documentation of the customer request and current proof of utilization in the rate center. States may not accommodate requests for specific numbers (i.e., vanity numbers), but may grant requests for customers seeking contiguous blocks of numbers. Any numbering resources granted for this reason may be initially activated only to serve the requesting customer for whom the application was made. If the customer request is withdrawn or declined, the requesting carrier must return the numbering resources to the NANPA or Pooling Administrator, and may not retain the numbering resources to serve other customers without first meeting our growth numbering resource requirements.

⁴ *In the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization, et al.*, CC Docket Nos. 99-200 and 96-98, Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-98 and CC Docket No. 99-200 ("Third NRO").

Ms. April Mulqueen, Assistant Director
February 24, 2005
Page 4 of 4

It is clear that all necessary elements are present to allow the Department adequate evidence for the overturning of NeuStar's denial. First, AT&T made the appropriate application to the PA. Second, the PA rejected AT&T's request for a growth code in the Lexington rate center because AT&T's utilization of its numbers in this rate center did not meet the requisite percentage. Third, this request for waiver is based on a customer request for numbering resources in a specific rate center that cannot be met with AT&T's current inventory.

Accordingly, AT&T respectfully requests the Department to grant this waiver and direct NeuStar to assign to AT&T a code that meets the customer's need.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay E. Gruber

Enclosures

cc: Mary L. Cottrell, Secretary
Michael Isenberg, Director of Telecom Division
D.T.E. 01-33 Service List